# Detecting and visualizing crystals

**Guillermo Calero, Aina E. Cohen, Joseph R. Luft,** 

**Janet Newman and Edward H. Snell**

What contributes to reflection intensity?

The Intensity of a reflection



#### The Intensity of a reflection

$$
I(h,k,l) = \frac{\lambda^3}{\omega \cdot V^2} \times \left(\frac{e^{-2}}{mc^2}\right)^2 \times V_{cr} \times I_o \times \left(\frac{L \times P \times A \times 0}{P \times A \times 0}\right) F(h k l)^2
$$
\n  
\nAbsorption  
\nVolume of crystal

For a fixed position of the crystal the scattering occurs at the intersection of the diffracting region and Ewald sphere. The intensity scattered by an electron into all directions toward the complete surface of the sphere surface  $4\pi(1/\lambda)^2$  has a fixed value and is independent of  $\lambda$ . The  $\lambda^2$  dependence is multiplied by a l-dependent term related to the time it takes for the complete diffracting region to pass through the Ewald sphere.

The 1/V<sup>2</sup> component comes from the number of unit cells per given volume. Larger unit cells, fewer per volume.

Lorentz correction The intensity of a reflection (or the average intensity of the data set) is directly related to:

- Volume
- Incident intensity

Doubling intensity allows data collection from a crystal of half the volume (note, not half the size)

Going from an incident brilliance of approximately 108-109 photons s<sup>-1</sup> mrad<sup>-2</sup> 0.1% bandwidth<sup>-1</sup> for a laboratory system to values approaching 10<sup>20</sup> for a third generation undulator source considerably decreases the volume of the crystal required to provide useable *I(hkl)s*.

If we consider X-ray Free Electron Laser sources (XFELs) with a brilliance of  $\sim$ 10<sup>30</sup> the volume required decreases still further even for samples where a jet of crystals are sprayed through the beam.

Tiny crystals become useable

### Microscope resolving power

$$
R = \frac{0.61\lambda}{NA}
$$

Where NA is the numerical aperture (typically a maximum of 0.95 in air for visible light) and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength.

Using this, the effective maximum resolution of an optical microscope *i.e.* the ability to distinguish two objects, is ~250 nm at the violet end of the visible spectrum, in practice it is much larger than this, on the order of microns.



# Monitoring Crystallization

### **Outcomes**



The 10 most common outcomes are shown with 1 being clear, 2 showing phase separation, 3 with phase separation and precipitate, 4 with phase separation and skin, 5 with phase separation and crystals, 6 with precipitate, 7 with precipitate and skin, 8 with precipitate and crystal, 9 with a crystal and 10 for anything that is undefined or possible contamination

### A non-crystal result can lead to a crystal



# Optical microscopy

- Typically visible microscopy or digital images are characterized by human observation, The fewer the number of possible annotations, the more likely to be consistent.
- "Crystal" or "not crystal" would appear to be the minimum requirement and can be a successful strategy in many cases, but even this is nontrivial.
- other outcomes can guide optimization. microcrystalline.
- Visible microscopy can be used repeatedly to follow the course of crystallization and identify changes over time manually or with more sophisticated imaging systems,
- Given enough sample, time, careful experimental design, and consistent observation, a phase diagram of the sample can be constructed allowing rational crystallization from microscopic studies alone.



### Use of polarization

- Many crystals can be birefringent.
- A crystalline as opposed to amorphous precipitate can be identified by the birefringent properties, a soft glow as the analyzer is rotated.
- Unfortunately, this property is linked to both salt and macromolecular crystals and is not present in cubic systems.
- The disadvantages of missing a small number of cases or a false positive is outweighed by the advantage, in that once a good microscope is available, the addition of a polarizer and analyser is a fraction of the initial investment.

### Importance of color



- The number of colored proteins is small but color can indicate the presence of metal ions, or other ligands bound to the protein.
- It can also, through the oxidation state of the metal, inform the crystallographer on the functional state of the protein under particular chemical conditions.
- In the case of a colored protein, the color can sometimes help to distinguish microcrystalline precipitates from denatured precipitates.

# Use of other wavelengths (UV)

- The amino acid tryptophan contained in many proteins absorbs ultraviolet light in the range 260-320 nm, and fluoresces from approximately 300 to 450 nm, with peak emission at 340-360 nm.
- Protein crystals containing tryptophan can be identified in the presence of precipitate and distinguished from salt crystals.
- The technique relies on the assumption that the local concentration of protein is greatest in a crystal and if tryptophan fluorescence is imaged, crystals should glow more strongly than the background
- However, the signal can be quenched from other structural features, e.g. the presence of a haem group in the protein, or of some metal centers
- Tryptophan fluorescence is highly sensitive to the local environment of the tryptophan side chain, so that proteins of similar size and containing the same number of tryptophans may respond quite differently to UV light.

# Use of other wavelengths (UV)

- Salt crystals may emulate fluorescing protein crystals if protein adheres to the surface.
- The crystallization cocktail itself can influence the success of the technique; e.g. the presence of the nitrate ion completely quenches the fluorescence from tryptophan.
- Tryptophan makes up an average of 1.09% of the residues in proteins but there are macromolecues that do not contain this amino acid. Fluorescent dyes can be added by covalently modifying the macromolecule or the addition of non-specific dyes during the crystallization process allowing detection of crystals as small as 1 μm in dimension



 $\qquad \qquad \textbf{(c)}\qquad \qquad \textbf{(d)}$ 

Examples of UV imaging outcomes with the associated brightfield (visible) image: (a) the good, protein crystals fluorescing strongly (Proteinase K) also easily identified visually, (b) the bad, salt crystals (calcium sulfate) with adsorbed protein, (c) the ugly, non-crystal information showing phase separation (also a potential lead condition for optimization) in a myoglobin containing trial – myoglobin is excluded from one phase and (d) the beautiful, an example of the identification of protein crystals that may have been easily missed visually.



Despeckling (median filtering) applied by default to UV images can remove the signal from small protein crystals. During the process of median filtering, a pixel's value is replaced by the median value of the pixels around it. This image was taken at a magnification such that one pixel is approximately  $1 \mu m$ , so that the very thin needles are approximately 1-2 µm wide, and thus get removed during the median filtering step.

# Other optical techniques



- Using SONICC and UV-TPEF we can observe and verify protein crystals < 1 micron in size.
- ~80% of proteins in PDB low-symmetry generate SHG

http://www.formulatrix.com/products/protein-crystallography-tools/sonicc/how.html

### One protein tested

Protein 1, part of the pyruvate dehydrogenase protein complex

*Hampton Research PEGRx HT-F4,* 4% (v/v) 2-Methyl-2,4 pentanediol, 0.1 M Citric Acid pH=3.5 20% (w/v) PEG 1500 produced the following:



Immediately after the protein is added to the cocktail

### Initial use of SONICC and UV imaging

#### Protein 1, part of the pyruvate dehydrogenase protein complex

*Hampton Research PEGRx HT-F4,* 4% (v/v) 2-Methyl-2,4 pentanediol, 0.1 M Citric Acid pH=3.5 20% (w/v) PEG 1500 produced the following:





SONICC SHG image UV-TPEF image

#### Protein 1, part of the pyruvate dehydrogenase protein complex

*Hampton Research PEGRx HT-F4,* 4% (v/v) 2-Methyl-2,4 pentanediol, 0.1 M Citric Acid pH=3.5 20% (w/v) PEG 1500



**SHG** at 4wk

#### Protein 1, part of the pyruvate dehydrogenase protein complex

*Hampton Research PEGRx HT-F4,* 4% (v/v) 2-Methyl-2,4 pentanediol, 0.1 M Citric Acid pH=3.5 20% (w/v) PEG 1500

X14163- Full[P]- 10mg/ml X14163- [P]/2- 5mg/ml



### **UV-TPEF** at 4wk

# Other techniques (Light scattering)





Signature of defined size aggregates forming

# Other techniques (Electron microscopy)



CD3Delta



tPTHR



**H5N1** 



**TFIIH** 







RNA-Pol II-TFIIB-Spt4/5

**DSZS AT** 

Spt4/5

RNA-Pol II

### X-ray analysis in the laboratory

# Crystallization plate considerations





Can even be done as a powder experiment in house.

# **Summary**



### Observation for data collection

### Optical methods



A cryogenically cooled crystal within a nylon loop is obscured by surrounding material when imaged using visible light microscopy (a). The same protein crystal may be clearly observed using visible light emission (b).

Single photon excited visible light emission has been in use at SSRL BL7-1 since 2012 to aid in visualizing colorless crystals inside loops or on meshes, and has been incorporated into the 'click-to-center' video display of the beam line control software. Protein crystal excitation is in the near UV and emission at wavelengths longer than 430 nm is collected.

### Optical based techniques



### X-ray based techniques



# **Summary**





Acta Crystallographica Section F **Structural Biology Communications** 

**ISSN 2053-230X** 

Guillermo Calero,<sup>a</sup> Aina E. Cohen,<sup>b</sup> Joseph R. Luft,<sup>c,d</sup> Janet Newman<sup>e</sup> and Edward H. Snell<sup>c,d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Structural Biology, University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA, <sup>b</sup>Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA, <sup>c</sup>Hauptman-Woodward Medical Research Institute, 700 Ellicott Street, Buffalo, NY 14203, USA, <sup>d</sup>Department of Structural Biology, State University of New York at Buffalo, 700 Ellicott Street, Buffalo, NY 14203, USA, and <sup>e</sup>CSIRO Collaborative Crystallisation Centre, 343 Royal Parade, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: esnell@hwi.buffalo.edu

Received 18 June 2014 Accepted 16 July 2014

#### Identifying, studying and making good use of macromolecular crystals

Structural biology has contributed tremendous knowledge to the understanding of life on the molecular scale. The Protein Data Bank, a depository of this structural knowledge, currently contains over 100 000 protein structures, with the majority stemming from X-ray crystallography. As the name might suggest, crystallography requires crystals. As detectors become more sensitive and X-ray sources more intense, the notion of a crystal is gradually changing from one large enough to embellish expensive jewellery to objects that have external dimensions of the order of the wavelength of visible light. Identifying these crystals is a prerequisite to their study. This paper discusses developments in identifying these crystals during crystallization screening and distinguishing them from other potential outcomes. The practical aspects of ensuring that once a crystal is identified it can then be positioned in the X-ray beam for data collection are also addressed.

#### 1. Introduction

The relationship between the intensity of the X-ray data produced and the volume of the crystal was originally captured by Darwin's formula (Darwin, 1922),

$$
I(hkl) = I_0 r_e^2 \frac{V_{\text{crystal}}}{V_{\text{cell}}} \frac{\lambda^3 L}{\omega V_{\text{cell}}} PA |F(hkl)|^2,
$$
 (1)

where  $I(hkl)$  is the intensity of a fully recorded reflection,  $I_0$  is the intensity of the incident beam,  $r_e$  is the classical electron radius,  $V_{\text{crystal}}$  is the illuminated crystal volume,  $V_{\text{cell}}$  is the unit-cell volume,